



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

ness is sickness within the meaning of the English Statute. *Regina v. Bucknell*, 77 E. C. L. 585. There are but few if any reported cases involving the question in this case. The holding goes pretty far and if it can be supported it must be on the grounds that the contract of insurance is construed most strongly against the insurer and that the defendant did business principally with railroad men.

INTOXICATING LIQUORS—STATUTE—CONSTRUCTION OF THE WORD "HOME."—Defendant stored two barrels of cider in an outhouse, situated at a distance of about eighty feet from the dwelling house in which there was no available space for storing the barrels. Molasses, apples, and other foods intended for the use of the family were also kept in this outhouse. Under the Mapp Prohibition Law, (ACTS, 1916, p. 216,) defendant was convicted for giving away cider taken from the barrels in the outhouse. Section 16 of the above law prohibited the giving away of ardent spirits in any place "except in a *bona fide* home"; section 61 provided that nothing in the law should prevent one "in his own home" from having and giving to another ardent spirits. The trial court refused to instruct the jury that the word "home" as used in the law was intended to include the curtilage, the whole cluster of buildings used by the family as a habitation. *Held*, the instruction should have been given. *Bare v. Commonwealth* (Va., 1917), 94 S. E. 168.

The construction of the statute adopted by the Supreme Court of Appeals seems in accord not only with the common understanding and acceptance of the term "home" as the place of residence rather than any particular building which may be at that spot, but also in accord with the construction of similar expressions as found in the common and statute law referring to arson, burglary and analogous crimes. For instance, in construing a statute prohibiting the carrying of weapons outside the "home", the court held that "home" included buildings necessarily appurtenant to the dwelling house. *Coker v. State*, 12 Ga. App. 425. An outhouse within the curtilage was considered a part of the "dwelling house" as regards the law of arson. *People v. Taylor*, 2 Mich. 250; *Page v. Commonwealth*, 26 Grat. (Va.) 943. An indictment for the burglary of a dwelling was sustained by proof of the breaking and entering of a barn eight rods in the rear of the house. *Pitcher v. People*, 16 Mich. 142. A game of cards played about ten feet from a tent used as private residence was played "at the residence" within the meaning of a statute prohibiting gaming at any place except at a private residence. *Hipp v. State*, 45 Tex. Cr. App. 200. The killing of a man in a building thirty-six feet from the accused's house was considered justified as in defense of his habitation. *Pond v. People*, 8 Mich. 150. See also 2 BISHOP, CRIMINAL LAW, Ed. 7, § 104.

LIBEL AND SLANDER—PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS.—While the defendant was presenting a claim against the plaintiff in the regular course of business, he was shown the books of the company. He communicated the information thus obtained to a credit company of which he was correspondent along with his opinion that concerted action by the creditors was necessary. The infor-